

Regularity of the distance function to the boundary

YanYan Li*

Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University
110 Frelinghuysen Road
Piscataway, NJ 08854

Louis Nirenberg
Courant Institute
251 Mercer Street
New York, NY 10012

Dedicated to the memory of Luigi Amerio

Abstract

Let Ω be a domain in a smooth complete Finsler manifold, and let G be the largest open subset of Ω such that for every x in G there is a unique closest point from $\partial\Omega$ to x (measured in the Finsler metric). We prove that the distance function from $\partial\Omega$ is in $C_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(G \cup \partial\Omega)$, $k \geq 2$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, if $\partial\Omega$ is in $C^{k,\alpha}$.

1 Introduction

In [1] we studied the singular set of viscosity solutions of some Hamilton-Jacobi equations. This was reduced to the study of the singular set of the distance function to the boundary of a domain Ω — for a Finsler metric. The singular set was defined as the complement of the following open set

$$G := \text{the largest open subset of } \Omega \text{ such that for every } x \text{ in } G \text{ there is a unique closest point from } \partial\Omega \text{ to } x \text{ (measured in the Finsler metric).} \quad (1)$$

*Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0401118.

In [1] we stated that if $\partial\Omega$ is in $C^{k,\alpha}$, $k \geq 2$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then the distance function from the boundary belongs to $C^{k-1,\alpha}(G \cup \partial\Omega)$. Recently Joel Spruck asked to see the proof for a Riemannian metric and pointed out that the result would imply that the distance function would be in $C^{k,\alpha}(G \cup \partial\Omega)$. In this paper we provide a proof of that in the Finsler case. This paper can be regarded as an addendum to [1].

We present two proofs of the $C^{k,\alpha}$ result. We use the notation, as in [1],

$$\int_0^T \varphi(\xi(t); \dot{\xi}(t)) dt$$

for the length of a curve $\xi(t)$. $\varphi(\xi; v)$ is homogeneous of degree one in v . For fixed ξ , the level surface $\varphi(\xi; v) = 1$ is smooth, closed, strictly convex, with positive principal curvatures.

The first proof uses very little of [1] and is essentially self-contained. The second proof uses some structure from [1], and may be of some interest to some readers.

We actually prove a more general result here, involving conjugate points from the boundary.

Definition. Conjugate Point. Consider a point y on $\partial\Omega$, and consider the geodesic $\xi(y, s)$ from y going inside Ω “normal” to $\partial\Omega$ (explained below) with s as arclength. The conjugate point to y is the first point $\bar{x}$ on the normal geodesic such that any point x'' on the geodesic beyond $\bar{x}$ has, in any neighborhood of the geodesic, a shorter join from $\partial\Omega$ to x'' than our normal geodesic to x'' .

Normal. A geodesic Γ from a point $y \in \partial\Omega$ is “normal” to $\partial\Omega$ if for x on Γ close to y , the geodesic is the shortest join from $\partial\Omega$ to x .

To obtain the regularity in G we prove a slightly more general result which is local on $\partial\Omega$. Namely, suppose C is a neighborhood on $\partial\Omega$ of a point y and that the normal geodesic Γ from y to a point X in Ω is the unique shortest join from C to X . If the conjugate point to y is beyond X then there is a neighborhood A of X such that the distance from C to any point in A belongs to $C^{k,\alpha}$. See Theorem 1 below.

We shall make use of special coordinates introduced in section 3 of [1] about a given normal geodesic Γ , going from a point $y \in \partial\Omega$ into Ω . In these coordinates y is the origin and the x_n -axis is normal to $\partial\Omega$ there and is the geodesic Γ . Furthermore, in these coordinates, φ has the following properties, see (4.1)-(4.6) in [1]. Here Greek letters α, β range from 1 to $n - 1$, and Latin letters i, j range from 1 to n .

$$\varphi(te_n; e_n) \equiv 1, \tag{2}$$

$$\varphi_{\xi^j}(te_n; e_n) \equiv 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\varphi_{v^\alpha}(te_n; e_n) \equiv 0, \quad \varphi_{v^n}(te_n; e_n) \equiv 1, \quad (4)$$

$$\varphi_{\xi^{jv^k}}(te_n; e_n) \equiv 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\varphi_{v^{jv^n}}(te_n; e_n) \equiv 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\varphi_{\xi^{j\xi^n}}(te_n; e_n) \equiv 0. \quad (7)$$

In these coordinates for $y \in \partial\Omega$ near the origin the geodesic $\xi(y, s)$ from y “normal” to $\partial\Omega$ there satisfies

$$\dot{\xi}(y, 0) = V(y)$$

where $V(y)$ is the unique vector-valued function on $\partial\Omega$ satisfying (here $\nu(y)$ is the Euclidean interior unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ at y)

$$\begin{cases} V(y) \cdot \nu(y) > 0 \\ \varphi(y; V(y)) = 1 \\ \nabla_v \varphi(y; V(y)) \text{ is parallel to } \nu(y). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Using these special coordinates, near the origin, $\partial\Omega$ has the form

$$y = (x', f(x')), \quad f(0') = 0, \quad \nabla f(0') = 0'. \quad (9)$$

We assume that $f \in C^{k,\alpha}$, $k \geq 2$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

The result we prove is

Theorem 1 *Assume that the conjugate point of the origin on the geodesic $\Gamma = \{te_n\}$ is beyond e_n , and that there exists a neighborhood C of $0'$ on $\partial\Omega$ such that $\{te_n \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is the unique shortest geodesic from C to e_n . Then there exist neighborhoods A of e_n and $\mathcal{A}$ of $0'$ on $\partial\Omega$ such that for any X in A there is a unique $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and geodesic from y to X which is the shortest join from $\mathcal{A}$ to A . Furthermore, if $d(X)$ is its length, then the Jacobian of the map $X \rightarrow (d, y)$ is nonsingular at e_n , and d lies in $C_{loc}^{k,\alpha}$ in A , and y lies in $C_{loc}^{k-1,\alpha}$ in A .*

2 Second Variation

Consider one parameter family of curves $\tau(\epsilon, t)$ from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\bar{t}e_n$, $\bar{t} > 0$, with $\tau(0, t) = te_n$. We look at the second variation of its length $I[\tau(\epsilon, \cdot)]$. For $\bar{t}$ small, it is clearly positive definite. The first $\hat{t}$ for which it fails to be strictly positive definite is the conjugate point. For if $\tilde{t} = \hat{t} + \delta$, $\delta > 0$, then the second variation of curves to $\tilde{t}$ cannot be semipositive definite, and there would then be a shorter connection from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\tilde{t}e_n$ near Γ .

The standard computation of second variation yields

$$\frac{d^2}{d\epsilon^2} I[\tau(\epsilon, \cdot)] \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = J(\tau_\epsilon|_{\epsilon=0}) - f_{x_\alpha x_\beta}(0') \tau_\epsilon^\alpha(0, 0) \tau_\epsilon^\beta(0, 0).$$

Here J is the usual expression of the second variation if the bottom point were kept at the origin. Namely,

$$J(\tau_\epsilon|_{\epsilon=0}) = \int_0^{\bar{t}} \left\{ \varphi_{\xi^\alpha \xi^\beta}(te_n; e_n) \tau_\epsilon^\alpha(0, t) \tau_\epsilon^\beta(0, t) + \varphi_{v^\alpha v^\beta}(te_n; e_n) \dot{\tau}_\epsilon^\alpha(0, t) \dot{\tau}_\epsilon^\beta(0, t) \right\} dt. \quad (10)$$

Note that τ_ϵ^n and $\dot{\tau}_\epsilon^n$ do not occur in J .

3 First proof of Theorem 1

3.1

Recalling (9) we shall denote the normal geodesic from $y = (x', f(x'))$ by $X = \xi(x', s)$; this is a slight change of notation. The geodesic ξ and ξ_s depend smoothly on s and their initial data, while the initial data depend $C^{k-1, \alpha}$ on x' . To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that the Jacobian of the mapping (x', s) to X at $(0', 1)$ is nonsingular. It follows that d and y belong to $C^{k-1, \alpha}$. Since $\nabla_X d = X_s$, it follows that $\nabla_X d$ is in $C^{k-1, \alpha}$ and hence d is in $C^{k, \alpha}$ — as Spruck pointed out to us.

We now prove the Jacobian is nonsingular.

Write $X = (X', X^n)$. Since $X_s(0', 1) = (0', 1)$, the Jacobian of the mapping (x', s) to X at $(0', 1)$ is simply

$$M := \frac{\partial X'}{\partial x'}(0', 1).$$

Assume M is singular, without loss of generality we may suppose that

$$X'_{x_1}(0', 1) = 0'. \quad (11)$$

We construct a perturbation $\tau(\epsilon, t)$ of $\Gamma = \{te_n \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ such that $\zeta(t) := \tau_\epsilon|_{\epsilon=0}$ satisfies

$$J[\zeta] = f_{x_\alpha x_\beta}(0') \zeta^\alpha(0) \zeta^\beta(0). \quad (12)$$

3.2

Consider the geodesic $\xi(\delta e_1, t)$ of length 1 starting at $(\delta e_1, f(\delta e_1))$, $0 < \delta$ small and “normal” to $\partial\Omega$ there. Set

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \xi(\delta e_1, t) \Big|_{\delta=0}. \quad (13)$$

By (11),

$$\zeta(1) = 0. \quad (14)$$

We obtain an equation for $\zeta(t)$ by differentiating the geodesic equation

$$\varphi_{\xi^i} = \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{v^i}(\xi; \dot{\xi})$$

with respect to δ , and setting $\delta = 0$. We find

$$\varphi_{\xi^i \xi^j}(te_n; e_n) \zeta^j = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\varphi_{v^i v^j}(te_n; e_n) \dot{\zeta}^j \right).$$

Here we have used property (5) of our special coordinates. By (7) and (6),

$$\varphi_{\xi^\alpha \xi^\beta} \zeta^\beta = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\varphi_{v^\alpha v^\beta} \dot{\zeta}^\beta \right). \quad (15)$$

We have

$$\zeta^\alpha(0) = \delta_1^\alpha. \quad (16)$$

In addition,

$$\dot{\zeta}(0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \dot{\xi}(\delta e_1, 0) \Big|_{\delta=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} V(\delta e_1) \Big|_{\delta=0} = V_{x_1}(0'). \quad (17)$$

By the last formula in (8) we have

$$\nabla_v \varphi((\delta e_1, f(\delta e_1)); V(\delta e_1)) \cdot (e_1 + f_{x_1}(\delta e_1) e_n) = 0.$$

Differentiating in δ and setting $\delta = 0$, we find, using properties of our special coordinates,

$$\varphi_{v^1 v^\beta}(0'; e_n) V_{x_1}^\beta(0') + f_{x_1 x_1}(0') = 0. \quad (18)$$

Now we introduce the perturbation $\tau(\epsilon, t)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^\alpha(\epsilon, t) &= \epsilon \zeta^\alpha(t), \\ \tau^n(\epsilon, t) &= te_n + (1-t)f(\epsilon e_1). \end{aligned}$$

The definition of τ^n is just to ensure that $\tau(\epsilon, 0)$ lies on $\partial\Omega$.

According to (10),

$$J[\tau_\epsilon|_{\epsilon=0}] = \int_0^1 \left\{ \varphi_{\xi^\alpha \xi^\beta}(te_n; e_n) \zeta^\alpha \zeta^\beta + \varphi_{v^\alpha v^\beta}(te_n; e_n) \dot{\zeta}^\alpha \dot{\zeta}^\beta \right\} dt.$$

Integrating the last expression by parts we find, using (15), (16), (17) and (18),

$$\begin{aligned} J[\tau_\epsilon|_{\epsilon=0}] &= \int_0^1 \left\{ \varphi_{\xi^\alpha \xi^\beta} \zeta^\alpha \zeta^\beta - \zeta^\alpha \frac{d}{dt} \left(\varphi_{v^\alpha v^\beta} \dot{\zeta}^\beta \right) \right\} - \zeta^\alpha(0) \varphi_{v^\alpha v^\beta}(0'; e_n) \dot{\zeta}^\beta(0) \\ &= -\varphi_{v^1 v^\beta}(0'; e_n) V_{x_1}^\beta(0') = f_{x_1 x_1}(0') = f_{x_\alpha x_\beta}(0') \tau_\epsilon^\alpha(0, 0) \tau_\epsilon^\beta(0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Section 2 that the second variation is zero.

□

4 Second proof of Theorem 1

Second proof of Theorem 1. For X near e_n and for small $\sigma' = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, let $\tau = \tau(\sigma', X)$ be defined by $\varphi(X; (\sigma', \tau)) = 1$ and $\tau(0', e_n) = 1$. Since $\varphi_{v^n}(e_n; e_n) = 1$, by the implicit function theorem, τ exists as a smooth function of (σ', X) near $(0', e_n)$.

Let, as on page 111 of [1], $\eta = \eta(\sigma', X, t)$ be the unique smooth function of, with $\psi = \varphi^2$,

$$\psi_{\xi^i}(\eta; \dot{\eta}) = \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{v^i}(\eta; \dot{\eta}), \quad t \leq 1,$$

satisfying

$$\eta(\sigma', X, 1) = X, \quad \dot{\eta}(\sigma', X, 1) = (\sigma', \tau(\sigma', X)).$$

As explained in the last two lines of page 108 in [1], $\eta(\sigma', X, t)$ is a geodesic with t the arclength.

In the special coordinates described in Section 1, $\partial\Omega$ has the form (9) near the origin with $f \in C^{k,\alpha}$, $k \geq 2$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Since $\{te_n \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is the unique shortest geodesic from C to e_n , we know that for X close to e_n , there exists x' close to $0'$ such that the “normal geodesic” starting from $(x', f(x'))$ will reach X as a shortest join from C to X . It follows that for some σ' close to $0'$ and t close to 0, we have

$$\begin{cases} \eta(\sigma', X, t) - (x', f(x')) &= 0, \\ \dot{\eta}^\mu(\sigma', X, t) - V^\mu(x') &= 0, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where $V(x') := V(x', f(x'))$ satisfies (8). Note that $1 - t$ is the distance from C to X .

To prove Theorem 1, we only need to show that the left hand side of (19), denoted as LHS, has nonsingular Jacobian $\frac{\partial(LHS)}{\partial(t, \sigma', x')}$ at $(t, \sigma', x', X) = (0, 0', 0', e_n)$. Indeed, this would allow the use of the implicit function theorem to show that for X close to e_n and in a neighborhood of $(0, 0', 0')$, there exists a unique $C^{k-1,\alpha}$ solution $(t, \sigma', x') = (t(X), \sigma'(X), x'(X))$ of (19). Thus, Theorem 1 follows as explained at the beginning of Section 3.

Clearly,

$$\frac{\partial(LHS)}{\partial t}(0, 0', 0', e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\eta}(0', e_n, 0) \\ (\dot{\eta}^\mu(0', e_n, 0)) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0' \\ 1 \\ 0' \end{pmatrix},$$

a $(2n - 1) \times 1$ column vector,

$$\frac{\partial(LHS)}{\partial \sigma'}(0, 0', 0', e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} (\eta_{\sigma_\alpha}(0', e_n, 0)) \\ (\dot{\eta}_{\sigma_\alpha}^\mu(0', e_n, 0)) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\eta_{\sigma_\alpha}^\mu(0', e_n, 0)) \\ (\eta_{\sigma_\alpha}^n(0', e_n, 0)) \\ (\dot{\eta}_{\sigma_\alpha}^\mu(0', e_n, 0)) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

a $(2n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix,

$$\frac{\partial(LHS)}{\partial x'}(0, 0', 0', e_n) = \begin{pmatrix} -I \\ 0 \\ -\nabla V'(0') \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

a $(2n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix, where I is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ identity matrix and $\nabla V' := (V'_{x_\beta})$. Thus

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(LHS)}{\partial(t, \sigma', x')} (0, 0', 0', e_n) \right) = (-1)^{n-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \eta'}{\partial \sigma'}(0', e_n, 0) & -I \\ \frac{\partial \dot{\eta}'}{\partial \sigma'}(0', e_n, 0) & -\nabla V'(0') \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

where $\frac{\partial \eta'}{\partial \sigma'} := (\eta'_{\sigma_\alpha})$ and $\frac{\partial \dot{\eta}'}{\partial \sigma'} := (\dot{\eta}'_{\sigma_\alpha})$.

By the last line in (8),

$$\nabla_v \varphi((x', f(x'))); V(x'))[e_\beta + f_{x_\beta}(x')e_n] = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\varphi_{v^\beta}((x', f(x'))); V(x')) + \varphi_{v^n}((x', f(x'))); V(x'))f_{x_\beta}(x') = 0.$$

Differentiating in x_α and setting $x' = 0'$ we find, using properties of our special coordinates (4), (5) and (6),

$$D_{v'}^2 \varphi(0'; e_n) \cdot \nabla V'(0') + D^2 f(0') = 0, \quad (23)$$

where $D_{v'}^2 \varphi := (\varphi_{v^\beta v^\mu})$.

We now evaluate $\frac{\partial \eta'}{\partial \sigma'}(0', e_n, 0)$ and $\frac{\partial \dot{\eta}'}{\partial \sigma'}(0', e_n, 0)$. It is proved in section 4.4 of [1] that there exists a $C^{2,1}$ function $\tilde{f}$ near $0'$ satisfying

$$\tilde{f}(0') = 0, \quad \nabla \tilde{f}(0') = 0',$$

$$(D^2 \tilde{f}(0') - D^2 f(0')) > 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\eta(\sigma', e_n, 0) = (y', \tilde{f}(y')), \quad (25)$$

$$\dot{\eta}^\mu(\sigma', e_n, 0) = \tilde{V}^\mu(y'), \quad (26)$$

where $\tilde{V}(y') := \tilde{V}((y', \tilde{f}(y')))$ is determined by (8) with f replaced by $\tilde{f}$, and $y' = y'(\sigma')$ satisfies

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial y'}{\partial \sigma'}(0') \right) \neq 0. \quad (27)$$

Note that (27) is given by (4.9) in [1], while (24) follows from corollary 4.15 in [1] together with the fact that e_n is not a conjugate point.

Differentiating (26) in σ_α and setting $\sigma' = 0'$ we find

$$\dot{\eta}_{\sigma_\alpha}^\mu(0', e_n, 0) = \tilde{V}_{y_\beta}^\mu(0') \frac{\partial y_\beta}{\partial \sigma_\alpha}(0'),$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\partial \dot{\eta}'}{\partial \sigma'}(0', e_n, 0) = \nabla \tilde{V}'(0') \frac{\partial y'}{\partial \sigma'}(0'). \quad (28)$$

Differentiating (25) in σ_α and setting $\sigma' = 0'$ we find

$$\frac{\partial \eta'}{\partial \sigma'}(0', e_n, 0) = \frac{\partial y'}{\partial \sigma'}(0'). \quad (29)$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y'}{\partial \sigma'}(0') & -I \\ \nabla \tilde{V}'(0') \frac{\partial y'}{\partial \sigma'}(0') & -\nabla V'(0') \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ \nabla \tilde{V}'(0') & -\nabla V'(0') \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y'}{\partial \sigma'}(0') & \\ & I \end{pmatrix},$$

we have, by putting (28) and (29) into (22),

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(LHS)}{\partial(t, \sigma', x')}(0, 0', 0', e_n) \right) = (-1)^{n-1} \det \left(\frac{\partial y'}{\partial \sigma'}(0') \right) \det \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ \nabla \tilde{V}'(0') & -\nabla V'(0') \end{pmatrix}. \quad (30)$$

The proof of (23), applied to $\tilde{f}$ instead of f , yields

$$D_{v'}^2 \varphi(0'; e_n) \nabla \tilde{V}'(0') + D^2 \tilde{f}(0') = 0. \quad (31)$$

Thus, by (23) and (31),

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -D^2 \tilde{f}(0') & D^2 f(0') \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ D_{v'}^2 \varphi(0'; e_n) \nabla \tilde{V}'(0') & -D_{v'}^2 \varphi(0'; e_n) \nabla V'(0') \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & D_{v'}^2 \varphi(0'; e_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ \nabla \tilde{V}'(0') & -\nabla V'(0') \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\det \left(D^2 f(0') - D^2 \tilde{f}(0') \right) = \det D_{v'}^2 \varphi(0'; e_n) \det \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ \nabla \tilde{V}'(0') & -\nabla V'(0') \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

Since $D_{v'}^2 \varphi(0'; e_n)$ is positive definite, we deduce from (30), (27) and (32) that

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial(LHS)}{\partial(t, \sigma', x')}(0, 0', 0', e_n) \right) \neq 0.$$

□

References

- [1] Y.Y. Li and L. Nirenberg, The distance function to the boundary, Finsler geometry and the singular set of viscosity solutions of some Hamilton-Jacobi equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 85-146.